

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/508,779	Applicant(s) MASAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner Nathan W. Schlientz	Art Unit 1616	

All Participants:

(1) Nathan W. Schlientz.

(2) Matthew Jacob.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 November 2007

Time: 15:30

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejection of claims 1-4 and 6-11 under 35 USC 103(a)

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

JP 06-040801, JP 05-038284, and JP 07-099965.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed attorney Jacob that the rejections under 35 USC 103(a) would be withdrawn in light of the differences between live cells and organs, and the difficulty in predicting the ability of a composition to preserve organs even if it has been shown to preserve live cells. However, the examiner will perform another prior art search to determine the patentability of the instant invention..